UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,203	07/03/2003	Gurtej S. Sandhu	303.931US2	4599
	7590 03/10/201 N, LUNDBERG & WC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			MALDONADO, JULIO J	
MIINNEAPOLI	.5, MIN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2823		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com request@slwip.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/613,203	SANDHU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JULIO J. MALDONADO	2823	

	JULIO J. MALDONADO	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ess			
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejectio E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	20102			
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>						
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	adding of simplifying th	ie issues ioi			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	-			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	, , , , ,	ı condition for allowand	ce because:			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Julio J. Maldonado/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2823				
	Zaminor, Are o					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 02/17/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants argue, "...Applicants submit that the amendments made in the prior response do not necessitate a new grounds of rejection. Further, Applicants cannot find any prior citation of Fazan in the prosecution history of the present application, nor was Fazan cited in any Information Disclosure Statement in connection with the present application. Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the Examiner's final rejection is improper, and should be withdrawn...".

In response to this argument, in the applicants' reply filed on 09/22/2009, the applicants added the limitation "...having a uniform composition..." in all of the independent claims, which was not found earlier in the claims. Furthermore, in the applicants reply filed 09/22/2009, the applicants acknowledged that the prior art fails to disclose the recited limitation. In response to this amendment and to the applicants remarks, the office mailed an office action 12/17/2009, with new grounds of rejection and, as stated in the office action, the applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in the office action and accordingly, the office action was made final. Therefore, the final rejection mailed 12/17/2009 is proper.